

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,715	09/11/2003	Doug Van Den Broeke	55071-283	4766
7590 05/18/2006 MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			EXAMINER	
			LU, TONY W	
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2878	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	10/659,715	BROEKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Lu	2878
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
	A pario quayro, 1000 c.z	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,13,15-29 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-6,13 and 15-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to the amendment filed on 02/06/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-6,13,15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al US6605481 in view of Liu US6664009.

With respect to claims 1,13 and 26, Wu et al disclose a method and/or an apparatus and/or a computer program product for generating a mask for printing a pattern comprising a plurality of features having varying critical dimension(see col.7, lines 1-13) comprising: obtaining data representing said pattern(400); defining a plurality of distinct zones(zone 0, zone 1....zone N) based on the critical dimensions of said plurality of features(410, also read col.5 lines 5-15); assigning each of said features into one of said plurality of distinct zones(420, also read col.7, lines 13-32); wherein said plurality of distinct zones comprises: a first zone(zone 3) in which features having a critical dimension less than or equal to a first predetermined amount; a second zone(zone 2) in which features having a critical dimension greater than said first predetermined amount and less than a second predetermined amount; and a third zone(zone 0 or zone 1) in which features having a critical dimensions greater than said second predetermined amount(read col.5 lines 15-40 and col.7, lines 1-13).

Art Unit: 2878

Wu et al fail to teach techniques used to image each said first, second and third zones.

Liu discloses a system and its method for optical lithography processes for manufacturing integrated circuits teach the possibility of selectively use of both chromless and chrome techniques(read col.2, lines 32-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wu et al by utilizing different imaging techniques for manufacture the mask in order to allow efficient and/or beneficial manufacturing processes based on the critical dimensions of the features.

With respect to claim 19, Wu et al disclose a system manufacturing method comprising the steps of: a) providing a wafer(read col.1, lines 17-47) that is at least partially covered by a layer of radiation-sensitive material(photoresist layer); b) providing a projection beam of radiation using a radiation system((visible light source or an ultraviolet light source); c) using a pattern on a mask to endow the projection beam with a pattern in it's crosse-section(read col.1, lines 17-47); d) projecting the patterned beam of radiation onto a target portion of the layer of radiation sensitive material(read col.1, lines 33-40), where in said mask is formed by: obtaining data representing said pattern(400); defining a plurality of distinct zones(zone 0, zone 1....zone N) based on the critical dimensions of said plurality of features(410, also read col.5 lines 5-15); assigning each of said features into one of said plurality of distinct zones(420, also read col.7, lines 13-32); wherein said plurality of distinct zones comprises: a first zone(zone 3) in which features having a critical dimension less than or equal to a first

Art Unit: 2878

predetermined amount; a second zone(zone 2) in which features having a critical dimension greater than said first predetermined amount and less than a second predetermined amount; and a third zone(zone 0 or zone 1) in which features having a critical dimensions greater than said second predetermined amount(read col.5 lines 15-40 and col.7, lines 1-13).

Wu et al fail to teach techniques used to image each said first, second and third zones.

Liu discloses a system and its method for optical lithography processes for manufacturing integrated circuits teach the possibility of selectively use of both chromless and chrome techniques(read col.2, lines 32-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wu et al by utilizing different imaging techniques for manufacture the mask in order to allow efficient and/or beneficial manufacturing processes based on the critical dimensions of the features.

With respect to claims 3,4,15 and 16, per the above discussion, Wu et al fail to teach at least one of said features in said first zone is implemented in said mask as adjacent phase edges etched in a substrate.

Liu discloses phase shifting masks use chrome between edges of two phase shifters(read col.2, lines 33-40, also see fig.2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wu et al utilizing the phase shifting method taught by Liu in order to provide more control to the critical dimension and to improve mask manufacturability.

Art Unit: 2878

The further citations regarding chrome patches disposed on an upper surface of said substrate remaining between said adjacent phase edges in claims 10 and 16 would have been obvious for similar reasons set forth above.

With respect to claims 5 and 17, per the above discussion, Wu et al lack a clear teaching of said chrome patches operate to control the percentage transmission of a light source incident on said mask.

Liu discloses the chrome is used to control light transmission(read col.1 lines 51-67, and col.2 lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wu et al by using the teach taught by Liu in order to provide more control to the light transmission of the mask and to assist in the definition of the feature size.

With respect to claims 6,18 and 27, per the above discussion, note that Wu et al disclose use of two masks in a wafer fabrication process wherein the first mask and/or the second mask can include phase shifting regions(read col.4, lines 10-25), but Wu et al fail to teach compiling the features contained in said first zone, and chromeless phase components of the features contained in said second zone, generating a first mask for imaging the chromeless phase components contained in said first zone and said second zone; and compiling chrome components of the features contained in said second zone, and the chrome components of the features contained in said third zone, generating a second mask for imaging the chrome components contained in said second zone and said third zone.

Art Unit: 2878

Although Wu et al fail to teach aforementioned generation of masks, separating chrome and chromless phase components of the features and forming a chrome and a chromeless mask respectively would enable the chrome mask to prevent the creation of undesirable artifact regions that would be created by the chromless shift mask.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at in art at time of the invention to modify Wu et al accordingly in order to provide a better lithography results.

With respect to claim 20, per the above discussion, Wu et al disclose a device(a semiconductor wafer, read col.1, lines 40-46) manufactured using a method according to claim 19.

With respect to claim 21, per the above discussion, Wu et al disclose a mask for use in a photolithography process manufactured in accordance with claim 1(read col.1, lines 10-15).

With respect to claims 22,24 and 28, per the above discussion, Wu et al disclose a simulation process is utilized to determine the aerial image behavior of a selected imaging process relative to the critical dimensions of the plurality of features(note that Wu et al use a test mask is created to produce zone definition by running the test mask through a wafer fabrication process to produce simulation results, read col.5, lines 55-66 and col.6, lines 1-10).

With respect to claims 23 and 25, per the above discussion, although Wu et al lack a clear teaching for the process of forming of the chrome patches, selecting a specify process/method of forming the chrome patches in order to assist in the definition of the feature size would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 2878

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wu et al accordingly in order to improve mask manufacturability and/or to better control the size of the resulting artifact by using the chrome patches.

With respect to claim 29, per the above discussion, Wu et al disclose a manufactured device(semiconductor chips and/or wafer, read col.1, lines 40-45 and col.7, lines 60-65.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-6,13,15-29 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2878

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Lu whose telephone number is 5712728448. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am- 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 5712722328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TL JUL

Georgia Epps
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 8